

Annex 2

CGR required for changes = requires Parish boundary changes which can only be changed by a CGR

No.	Responder type	Response method	Ward	Specific polling district, polling place or polling station	Satisfied with current arrangements (online responses only)	Comment	Final recommendation for the report
1	Voter / elector	Online form	Acomb	Acomb	Very satisfied		No change
2	Voter / elector	Online form	Acomb	The Gateway Centre Acomb	Satisfied	The white lines on the steps outside the centre could do with repainting to make them more visible. Alternative - Acomb Parish Church Hall. It has better parking, and a more convenient location as I live in Beckfield Lane.	No change, white lines will be repainted
3	Councillor	Email	Acomb	AA & AC		Boroughbridge Road current split between adjacent 133 and 155 should split after 159 at the junction	Move 155, 157 & 159 Boroughbridge Road from AB into AA
4	Councillor	Email	Acomb	AB & AC		Split the polling districts on junctions i.e. before 24A Beckfield Lane not between adjacent 24A and 24; and before 112, not between adjacent 118 and 120.	Move 24A, 118 and 120 Beckfield Lane from AB into AC
5	Councillor	Email	Acomb	AC		Is there is no way to find a Beckfield Lane area polling station	No change, this is a residential area and no venue suitable
6	Councillor	Email	Acomb	AC & AD		Beckfield Place is oddly split so that only 1/3/5/7 are in a separate polling district and I'd suggest changing that boundary so that the neighbours are all in the same polling district.	Move 1, 3, 5, 7 Beckfield Place from AC into AD
7	Councillor	Email	Acomb	AC & AD		Danebury Drive is oddly split so between adjacent 77/79 and should be split after 101 at the junction, and I would also suggest moving Danebury Court and 90-128 Danebury Drive and 20-74 Woodlea Avenue from AC to AD, which helps balance polling district numbers better and also splits Woodlea Ave neighbours more cleanly/sensibly.	Move the street of Danesgate and 79-101 Danebury Drive from AC into AD
8	Councillor	Email	Bishopthorpe	All		At the very southern tip of the village proper there are a cluster of buildings that are in the next polling district (perhaps is caused by a parish boundary?)	No change - CGR required for changes
9	Local councillor	Online form	Bishopthorpe	Bishopthorpe and Acaster Malbis	Very satisfied	Both polling stations are in the right place and offer all the services needed parking, toilets etc	No change
10	Voter / elector	Online form	Bishopthorpe	Bishopthorpe Village Hall	Satisfied	Methodist Church Hall	No change
11	Voter / elector	Online form	Clifton	BD	Very satisfied	Many elderly residents in YO30 6SA (Ouse Lea) would have to go much further and probably would not be able to vote (no direct bus to my knowledge). It is strange the properties at city end of Shipton road are not part of York inner (and thus vote in the closer by Clifton Methodist church if we have to move from current location). We very much are part of the Clifton community.	move polling place to Clifton Community Hall, central and better access
12	Voter / elector	Online form	Clifton	BD: James Hall, Clifton Parish Church	Very satisfied	Strongly disagree with the proposal to change the polling place to St Joseph's. For many, this change will significantly add to the distance needed to travel to vote, and likely cause needless confusion with the local electorate. The rationale given for the change is a trivial admin benefit which should not be placed ahead of the needs of voters. See comments above. Strongly disagree with the proposed change.	move polling place to Clifton Community Hall, central and better access
13	Poll Clerk	Online form	Clifton	Burton Green School	Dissatisfied	requires a mechanism to hold open the door for wheelchair access	Noted, will provide a door stop and/or ring bell
14	Voter / elector	Online form	Clifton	St Joseph's Catholic Church, Burdyke Ave / Sutton Way	Dissatisfied	Nowhere available close by to park a bicycle securely whilst voting. A staff member offered to hold it for me as there was nothing to lock it to close by. Car parking must be difficult too. Alternative Community Hall on Evelyn Crescent.	No change
15	Voter / elector	Online form	Copmanthorpe	Copmanthorpe	Satisfied	Methodist Church or Howell Hall	No change
16	Voter / elector	Online form	Copmanthorpe	Copmanthorpe	Very satisfied		No change
17	Voter / elector	Online form	Copmanthorpe	sat Giles, Copmanthorpe	Very satisfied	Copmanthorpe Methodist Church	No change
18	Councillor	Email	Dringhouses and Woodthorpe	CC & CD		A boundary separates adjacent properties on Ryecroft Avenue - 60 and 62 instead of at the junction between 58 and 60? Leave Arundel Grove in CD as nearer to station. Leave Ullswater in CC as nearer the station.	Move 60 Ryecroft Avenue into CD Polling district
19	Voter / elector	Online form	Dringhouses and Woodthorpe	Woodthorpe	Very satisfied		No change
20	Voter / elector	Online form	Dringhouses and Woodthorpe	CD	Very dissatisfied		Move place to Moor Lane Youth Centre

Annex 2

CGR required for changes = requires Parish boundary changes which can only be changed by a CGR

No.	Responder type	Response method	Ward	Specific polling district, polling place or polling station	Satisfied with current arrangements (online responses only)	Comment	Final recommendation for the report
21	Local councillor	Online form	Dringhouses and Woodthorpe	CD Woodthorpe Primary School	Very dissatisfied	The use of Woodthorpe Primary School as a polling station necessitates a whole-school closure, which is far from ideal. Alternative St James the Deacon Church Hall on Sherringham Drive is a potential alternative venue. It is currently located in the CC polling district, but polling district CD could be expanded to include the following streets - Carrfield, Deepdale, Sherringham Drive, Allendale, Sandmartin Court, Heron Avenue and Rycroft Avenue (numbers 2 to 60 and 1 to 39).	Move place to Moor Lane Youth Centre
22	Voter / elector	Online form	Dringhouses and Woodthorpe	Dringhouses	Very satisfied	Dringhouses school.	No change
23	Organisation	Email	Dringhouses and Woodthorpe	CD		<p>I understand that the review of polling districts and polling places has commenced. With this in mind I am writing to formally request a review of the use of Woodthorpe Primary School as a polling station for future elections. While I understand the importance of providing accessible voting locations, I believe that holding the election at a school presents significant challenges that outweigh the benefits. Below are the key reasons for my request:</p> <p>1.Impact on Education: The use of the school as a polling station would disrupt the regular educational schedule. Our school is a vital environment for both learning and wellbeing, closing the school will have a negative impact on students.</p> <p>2.Impact on Working Families: For many working parents, the closure of the school on polling days presents a significant logistical challenge. Families often rely on schools as a safe place for their children while they are at work. The closure of the school will force parents to make changes to childcare arrangements, which can be especially difficult for low-income families or those with inflexible work schedules as this often means taking unpaid leave.</p> <p>3.Financial Impact on the School: Woodthorpe Primary School loses income when used as a polling station as we cannot operate our Nursery or breakfast/after school clubs. The closure of the school during polling day negatively impacts the school's budget, which in turn can affect resources and services available to students and their families.</p> <p>In light of these concerns, I request that Woodthorpe Primary school is not used as a polling station for future elections. I understand the need for accessible voting locations, but I believe that the negative impact on education, working families, and the school's finances should be considered before making this decision. I urge you to explore alternative venues, such as St James the Deacon Church Hall just 500m from the school, that will minimize disruption and provide a better solution for the community, students, and their families.</p>	Move place to Moor Lane Youth Centre
24	Voter / elector	Online form	Fishergate	Fishergate	Very satisfied		No change
25	Voter / elector	Online form	Fishergate	Kilburn Rd (DB)	Satisfied		No change
26	Voter / elector	Online form	Fulford and Heslington	YCC	Very satisfied	Voting should not be moved to Heslington, which is considerably further away from the YCC area.	Move YCC polling district to Fulford Social Hall polling place
27	Voter / elector	Online form	Fulford and Heslington	Fulford	Very satisfied		No change
28	Voter / elector	Online form	Fulford and Heslington	Fulford	Very satisfied		No change
29	Voter / elector	Online form	Fulford and Heslington	Fulford Social Hall	Very satisfied		No change
30	Councillor	Email	Fulford and Heslington	YCA		If it's possible to split Fulford in half (between north and south), with a separate polling station for the latter, I think it would be a clearly preferable outcome for the residents.	No suitable building in the south of the parish
31	Councillor	Email	Fulford and Heslington	YCA & YCC		Fulford & Heslington ward – generally weird, because of the split between YCC and YCA and the lack of split at the beck/Thornton Road, which as discussed above I'd love to see fixed (but appreciate has its challenges)	Move YCC polling district to Fulford Social Hall polling place
32	Councillor	Email	Fulford and Heslington	YCA & YCC		If parish boundaries need to have a split, and I'm not entirely certain that this is true in every instance, then I understand how this can complicate some areas. The eventual CGR can look at them. If not, I would suggest merging YCC/YCA in Fulford see above and YFC/YFB in Heworth Without	Move YCC polling district to Fulford Social Hall polling place

Annex 2

CGR required for changes = requires Parish boundary changes which can only be changed by a CGR

No.	Responder type	Response method	Ward	Specific polling district, polling place or polling station	Satisfied with current arrangements (online responses only)	Comment	Final recommendation for the report
33	Councillor	Email	Fulford and Heslington	YCC		I personally do not think it makes any sense at all for the Fulford YCC district to vote in Heslington. It requires a long walk, and the two communities are separated by a high speed road and effective countryside. Grants Avenue for instance is <300m from Fulford Social Hall and ~1700m from the Heslington location. The nearest point to Heslington is still closer to the Fulford site. I think this is only considered because Germany Beck and Fordlands Road areas have no closer venue, and my recommendation would be to amend that issue if at all possible, but in absence of that keep Fulford grouped as one polling district or at least keep them using their local community venue instead of Heslington.	Move YCC polling district to Fulford Social Hall polling place
34	Voter / elector	Online form	Fulford and Heslington	YCC	Very satisfied	I live on the Germany Beck development, which is not yet complete. I would like to know if the proposed change to our polling place accounts for the fact that there will be many more houses in the area over the next few years and hence the increase in voters? Is the proposal suitable for the future population and not just the current population?	Move YCC polling district to Fulford Social Hall polling place Future electorates have been considered in this report
35	Voter / elector	Online form	Fulford and Heslington	YCC	Satisfied	Fulford Social Hall much closer than Heslington Village Hall	Move YCC polling district to Fulford Social Hall polling place
36	Voter / elector	Online form	Fulford and Heslington	YCC	Very dissatisfied	There is no bus route to Heslington from Fulford where I live. It would be VERY difficult for me to get there. Please think of elderly people who would struggle. Alternative The current one - St Oswald's	Move YCC polling district to Fulford Social Hall polling place
37	Voter / elector	Online form	Fulford and Heslington	YCC	Very dissatisfied	It makes no sense for people in YCC to travel all the way to Heslington to vote when there are other polling stations so near. Now that the polling district is in the same ward and constituency as YCA, the two should be merged and both vote at the same location. Alternative Fulford Social Club	Move YCC polling district to Fulford Social Hall polling place
38	Councillor	Email	Guildhall	EB & ED		I don't understand why Moatside Court is in ED not EB or EC? The rest makes broad sense, though EA is very large population-wise and will be even bigger with the extra new houses?	Move Moatside Court to EB
39	Voter / elector	Online form	Guildhall	Guildhall. St Olave's Church Hall	Very dissatisfied	St Olave's CH is well over a half mile walk from Portland Street, off Gillygate. St Thomas' or Theatre @41 must be closer! Alternative Art Gallery	No change. Art Gallery isn't suitable for a polling place
40	Voter / elector	Online form	Guildhall	National Centre for Early Music	Very dissatisfied	I'm visually impaired and the floor is in a terrible state. I appreciate that you can't change historic flags, but it was much easier to use when the polling station was at St Nick's Environment Centre	No change, St Nicks is not central to polling district and NCEM is fully accessible, better signage to be used to the correct entrance
41	Councillor	Email	Haxby and Wigginton	All		Potentially caused by parish boundaries or might be map drawing glitches, but are confusing: Green Dike is split between 7 and 9 instead of before 1, Barley View is split between 1 and 3 instead of before 1, Westfield Road is split between 1 and 3 instead of before 1, Moor Lane is split between 3 and 5 instead of at The Village, Windsor Drive is split before 112 and 179 instead of after 118/185 at the end of the road, and I'm not sure why Drylands Close is YDC instead of YDB?	No change - CGR required for changes
42	Voter / elector	Online form	Haxby and Wigginton	Wigginton	Satisfied	Community Centre	No change
43	Councillor	Email	Haxby and Wigginton	YDD & YDE		I support keeping YDD/YDE in H&W as separate polling districts using the same station these are warded parish areas so need to be separate.	No change
44	Councillor	Email	Heworth	FF & FG		Bad Bargain Lane splits between adjacent 43 and 45 instead of after 51 at the junction, and sizes of districts vary, but otherwise makes sense to me.	Move 40 - 50 (evens) and 45 - 51 (odds) Bad Bargain Lane from FF to FG
45	Voter / elector	Online form	Heworth	Heworth	Satisfied		No change
46	Voter / elector	Online form	Heworth	Heworth t Wulfstans (St. Wulstan's Church)	Very satisfied		No change
47	Voter / elector	Online form	Heworth	tang hall	Satisfied		No change
48	Councillor	Email	Heworth Without	All		Heworth Without ward – actual nightmare that cut through numerous houses, presumably solely from nonsensical and outdated parish boundaries, i.e. Bad Bargain Lane splits in the middle of a house, Burnholme Ave split in the middle of a house, Whitby Ave and Whitby Drive and Algarth Road and Algarth Rise and Sandstock Road Stockton Lane all go through houses	No change (properties in question have been checked and all in the correct polling district/Parish) CGR required for Parish boundary changes
49	Councillor	Email	Heworth Without	All		The population sizes are stilted towards YFB. So, if viable, I'd suggest moving: 127 and 226 Stockton Lane moving from YFB to YFA, Sandstock Road, Algarth Rise and Algarth Road moving from YFB to YFA, Whitby Avenue and Whitby Drive moving from YFB to YFA, 17-25 and 20-38 Burnholme Avenue moving from YFC to YFB, and 115-153 Bad Bargain Lane moving from YFB to YFC (or merge the two).	Move place for YFC to Heworth Without Community Centre CGR required for changes

Annex 2

CGR required for changes = requires Parish boundary changes which can only be changed by a CGR

No.	Responder type	Response method	Ward	Specific polling district, polling place or polling station	Satisfied with current arrangements (online responses only)	Comment	Final recommendation for the report
50	Voter / elector	Online form	Heworth without	Heworth without	Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied		No change
51	Voter / elector	Online form	Heworth Without	Heworth Without	Very satisfied	Parking available and disabled access	No change
52	Councillor	Email	Heworth Without	YFA and YFB		PD reflect the old York and Ryedale boundaries. As such on certain streets – Whitby Avenue, Whitby Drive, Algarth Road, Algarth Rise, Sandstock Road the street is split along strange non existent diagonal lines. In the case of the latter two - cul de sacs of 8 houses each are split across two polling districts. I would suggest a more sensible line is drawn	No change - CGR required for changes
53	Voter / elector	Online form	Heworth without	Heworth without	Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	Use postal vote	No change
54	Councillor	Email	Holgate	GA & GB		34 Grantham Drive is the only house on the street marked as GA not GB, and if not just a map drawing error seems sensible to fix	Move 34 Grantham Drive from GA to GB
55	Councillor	Email	Holgate	GA & GB		The entire Renshaw Gardens area including Damson Close, Peppercorn Close etc is right next to the polling station of GA and should be part of GA with their neighbours instead of GB.	Move Renshaw Gardens, Damson Close and Peppercorn Close from GB to GA
56	Councillor	Email	Holgate	GB & GC		150-218 Hamilton Drive, Nursery Drive and Carrick Gardens feel unusual separated from their neighbourhood in GB instead of GC.	New polling place York Railway Institute Club, Hamilton Drive, York YO24 4NX
57	Voter/elector	Email	Holgate	GC		I note that an alternative polling station is required in place of the station which hitherto has been at the primary school on Hamilton Drive. Would it be feasible to use the Railway Institute Sports Ground on Hamilton Drive	New polling place York Railway Institute Club, Hamilton Drive, York YO24 4NX
58	Voter / elector	Online form	Holgate	GC		When placed within the site of a school it should be more obviously advertised. There should also be more "polling station this way" signs further out to act as reminders.	New polling place York Railway Institute Club, Hamilton Drive, York YO24 4NX
59	Councillor	Email	Holgate	GC & GD		13-41 Hamilton Drive East, Robin Grove and Barbara Grove feel very strange in GD instead of GC, and in reverse it's odd that 133 Holgate Road up to Chancery Rise are in GC instead of GD, so I'd swap them over.	Move Robin Grove, Barbara Grove and (odds) 13-41 Hamilton Drive East to GC
60	Voter / elector	Online form	Holgate	GC Holgate - Our Ladies Queen of Martyrs	Dissatisfied	For people living in Murray Street (and possibly also Linley, Beech Ave, Hill Street etc) - if OLQM is going, it would be much more convenient to allow people in these streets to vote at Holgate Methodist Hall. Would one possibility be to expand existing polling stations to absorb those going to OLQM? Alternative for much of the area Holgate Methodists Church if it was allowed to expand.	New polling place York Railway Institute Club, Hamilton Drive, York YO24 4NX
61	Voter / elector	Online form	Holgate	Holgate	Satisfied		No change
62	Voter / elector	Online form	Holgate	Holgate Methodist Church	Very satisfied		No change
63	Voter / elector	Online form	Holgate	Wilton Rise	Satisfied	St Paul's Church	No change
64	Voter / elector	Online form	Holgate	York Spiritualist Centre	Very satisfied		No change
65	Councillor	Email	Hull Road	HG		Holmefield Lane area (HG, Hull Road) residents could vote in the existing Vanbrugh College station. In the former this actually means they don't have to travel as far, and in the latter this would mean that Cherry Tree Cottages, Spring Barn Cottage, Eden's Cottage and Sycamore Cottage (next door neighbours split over two districts) would vote in the same location, and all university campus accommodation would be voting on campus, so it is much more consistent.	Move HG from Heslington Village Meeting Room to move to Vanbrugh College
66	Chair of a venue	Online form	Huntington and New Earswick	Huntington Community Centre	Satisfied		No change
67	Councillor	Email	Huntington and New Earswick	YGB & YGC		I'd suggest 150-168 New Land moves from YGC to YGB, so that the split is at a junction and numbers work. I'd also move Saddlers Close, Forge Close, and Jockey Lane from YGC to YGB for neighbourhood grouping and numbers too, and then merging Vangarde into Monks Cross makes perfect sense so I'd suggest moving south east of New Lane into YGB too. (In reality I think east of New Lane should be its own polling district, but only once there are more houses in it to add to the few at Hopgrove and Brewery Cottages etc)	Merge polling districts YGB & YGC
68	Councillor	Email	Huntington and New Earswick	YGB & YGC		I recommend keeping YGB and YGC as separate districts	Merge polling districts YGB & YGC. The large electorate will be split by stations at the venue and not polling districts

Annex 2

CGR required for changes = requires Parish boundary changes which can only be changed by a CGR

No.	Responder type	Response method	Ward	Specific polling district, polling place or polling station	Satisfied with current arrangements (online responses only)	Comment	Final recommendation for the report
69	Councillor	Email	Huntington and New Earswick	YGD		The current boundary between Huntington vs New Earswick also means that 26-28 Station Avenue is the other side of the boundary Parish boundary from its neighbours and ditto in reverse with West Huntington Hall area.	No change - CGR required for changes
70	Voter / elector	Online form	Micklegate	Clements Hall	Very satisfied		No change
71	Voter / elector	Online form	Micklegate	Priory Street, Micklegate ward	Very satisfied	easily accessible and very convenient	No change
72	Councillor	Email	Micklegate	JB & JC		Holgate Rd north, including Lowther Terrace etc, should be with its neighbours in JC instead of JB in my humble opinion, which also improves number balance at the same time, but the rest makes sense to me.	No change - these streets are located where the most convenient polling place is located
73	Voter / elector	Online form	Osballdwick and Derwent	Dunnington	Very satisfied		No change
74	Voter / elector	Online form	Osballdwick and Derwent	Dunnington	Very satisfied		No change
75	Voter / elector	Online form	Osballdwick and Derwent	Osballdwick	Very satisfied		No change
76	Councillor	Email	Osballdwick and Derwent	YHA & YHB		Cavendish Grove and Redbarn Drive corner with B&Q should move from YHB to YHA imho or ideally the boundary end to end would be the A64.	No change - CGR required for changes
77	Councillor	Email	Osballdwick and Derwent	YHA1 & 2		Meadlands (YHA/2, Osballdwick) residents could vote in the existing Sustainable Centre station	Merge Polling districts YHA1 & YHA2 and use existing polling place at Super Sustainable Centre
78	Councillor	Email	Osballdwick and Derwent	YHA1 & 2		It makes sense to merge YHA1/YHA2 - merged see above and HG/HE - merged see above too for the same reasons.	Merge Polling districts YHA1 & YHA2 and use existing polling place at Super Sustainable Centre
79	Councillor	Email	Rawcliffe and Clifton without	All		Beaverdyke all sitting in YKC, Lakeside Primary as the boundary between YKA and YKC, instead of the line that currently cuts through a bunch of houses, which means Gouthwaith Close in YKA (not YKC) and Loxley Close, Rishworth Grove, Eldwick Close etc in YKC (not YKA), the corner of Tesco not sitting in a separate district, but moving the boundary to the ring road roundabout and industrial estate roundabout i.e. separated by several lanes of traffic, and oFrazer Court, Equinox House, Clifton Park Avenue, etc merging with Flyingdale Avenue (and all kept in either YKB or YKD)	No change - CGR required for changes
80	Voter / elector	Online form	Rawcliffe and Clifton without	Clifton Without	Dissatisfied	Wheelchair user. Rocky uneven ground to cover, large lip after ramp to enter Sea Scout Hut. Alternative Clifton Moor Church.	No change, keep under review
81	Voter / elector	Online form	Rawcliffe and Clifton without	Rawcliffe	Satisfied	Rawcliffe Pavilion	No change
82	Councillor	Email	Rawcliffe and Clifton without	YKA & YKB		End of Manor Lane/Cobham Way/Armstrong Way area moving from YKA to YKB	Move Armstrong Way, Cobham Way, Didsbury Close to YKB
83	Councillor	Email	Rawcliffe and Clifton without	YKA and YKC		The ends of cul-de-sacs such as Loxley Close, Ryburn Close are in YKC when the rest of the road is in YKA, and vice-versa with Leighton Croft end being in YKA when the rest of the street is in YKC	No change - CGR required for changes
84	Councillor	Email	Rawcliffe and Clifton without	YKD		Explore Library. New building on Rawcliffe Drive, York YO30 6NS. Existing building closed	Change address to the new building
85	Parish Coun	Email	Rawcliffe and Clifton without	YKD, YKE & YKF		We recommend YKE & YKF are merged with YKD and located at Clifton Explore Library Learning Centre.	Merge Polling districts YKE & YKF and move place to new Explore Clifton Library. YKD is parished, leave separate
86	Councillor	Email	Rawcliffe and Clifton without	YKE & YKF		I support YKE and YKF merging, as they already use the same polling station (though it leaves a slightly odd boundary);	Merge Polling districts YKE & YKF and move place to new Explore Clifton Library
87	Councillor	Email	Rural West York	All		Already talked about the nightmare of the bit inside the ring road bordering Acomb, which desperately needs fixing, but I'd also suggest the industrial estate area around Redwood House, Chestnut House etc could do with moving into one area – probably from YJF to YJC as they're more linked to Knapton now, and also particularly that the Allerton Drive, Springfield Road, Riversvale Drive boundary in Poppleton is awkward as it is today, and I'd suggest for consistency moving all of those roads from YJF to YJG which has no impact on numbers but means neighbours are in the same district. Parish boundaries	No change - CGR required for changes

Annex 2

CGR required for changes = requires Parish boundary changes which can only be changed by a CGR

No.	Responder type	Response method	Ward	Specific polling district, polling place or polling station	Satisfied with current arrangements (online responses only)	Comment	Final recommendation for the report
88	Councillor	Email	Rural West York	All		'Inside the ring road' is, frankly, 'Just Plain Silly' at the moment, due to legacy parish boundaries that don't resemble reality: YJC parish Trenchard Road, Medley Road, Villa Court and Westfield House are very close together, but are separated from the other areas of their polling districts by miles and a ring road and a train line yet are bafflingly in three polling districts. Houses on Westview Close sit in two polling districts. This could be fixed by splitting off Trenchard Road area from YJC on organic/natural boundaries, and YJF and YJG at the ring road. There are various suitable venues for this area particularly including Manor School (which I think is the most central and accessible building in that area). Keeping these unusable boundaries in situ and forcing people to travel very far on awkward routes to vote is simply undemocratic, even if we are forced to retain those boundaries (though I personally am not sure that is the case).	No change - CGR required for changes
89	Voter/elector	Email	Rural West York	All		I am particularly concerned because last year you arbitrarily and without consultation altered the polling districts and disenfranchised a number of electors on a parish Council election. When this was raised by both electors and councillors, you denied your actions were unlawful but then apologised and admitted you got it wrong. For this reason I am anxious to confirm polling District boundaries in rural West York	No change. Administration amendments already addressed
90	Voter / elector	Online form	Rural West York	Nether Poppleton	Very satisfied	Poppleton centre	No change
91	Voter / elector	Online form	Rural West York	Rural West York	Very satisfied	You arbitrarily and unlawfully altered polling districts in 2023. In 2024 they reverted to the previous boundaries- please keep it that way	No change. Administration amendments already addressed
92	Voter / elector	Online form	Rural West York	Upper Poppleton	Very satisfied	I do a Postal Vote and intend to continue to do so.	No change
93	Councillor	Email	Rural West York	YJC		Knapton village should retain a polling station if at all possible	No change, no suitable venue
94	Councillor	Email	Strensall	YLC & YLD		I'd move the weird district boundary from arbitrary streets to the massive trainline that cleanly dissects the village, or the clean split at the border of Princess Road and York Road	Merge YLC and YLD
95	Councillor	Email	Strensall	YLC & YLD		YLC/YLD in Strensall, not least as 4500 is roughly double the size of the current largest	Merge YLC and YLD. The large electorate will be slip by stations and not polling districts
96	Councillor	Email	Westfield	KA & KB		Ridgeway and Wetherby Road are connected with numerous junctions and paths to Chapelfields, and moving them from KB to KA helps balance numbers/sizes, and also means people have a shorter distance to vote;	Move Rylatt Place, Ridgeway and Wetherby Road to KA
97	Councillor	Email	Westfield	KB & KC		Vesper Drive and Askham Lane could in totality move from KB to KC with similar benefits; the rest makes sense to me	No change - these streets are located where the most convenient polling place is located
98	Voter / elector	Online form	Wheldrake	Elvington	Very satisfied		No change
99	Councillor	Email	Wheldrake	YMA & YMC		It's really strange that Crockey Hill is split in half, and I'd suggest the boundary should skirt the west side of it so that the community stays together and all vote in Deighton?	No change - CGR required for changes
100	Councillor	Email	All	All		Generally the proposed changes don't try to address a similar challenge of polling stations outside the corresponding polling district/ward (eg Acomb, Fishergate, R&CW), and personally I am content that this isn't an issue if the venue is local to the voters and the most appropriate one.	No change - noted comment, currently these are the recommended polling places. Will keep under review.

Annex 2

CGR required for changes = requires Parish boundary changes which can only be changed by a CGR

No.	Responder type	Response method	Ward	Specific polling district, polling place or polling station	Satisfied with current arrangements (online responses only)	Comment	Final recommendation for the report
101	Councillor	Email	All	All		<p>Polling Stations; excluding Knapton, larger rural villages have their own polling stations and we can't avoid more isolated properties travelling if they want to vote in person, but some of the urban areas have fairly large distances to the polling station which I think may put people off particularly in adverse weather. Turnout figures would be the only way to test the genuine impact, and if it's unavoidable due to lack of venues perhaps that is something that we can take on as a Council eg via neighbourhood plans/Local Plan/etc. But here are a few examples, which would be ideal to look at for closer venues: -Acomb: Melander Close area (AC) and Briar Avenue area (AD): the distance west of Beckfield Lane to multiple different polling stations is not ideal, but I do know there is no open dedicated community venue in the immediate vicinity. If there is any opportunity to think outside the box on utilising one of the businesses on Beckfield Lane for this, it really would make for a better polling station location and much tidier boundaries at the same time, even though I know options are fairly limited.</p> <p>D&W: Principal Rise area (CA) , F&H: Cherry Wood Crescent area (YCA), H&W: Sandringham Close area (YDE), Heworth: Dalguise Grove area (FC), Holgate: Severus Avenue and Renshaw Gardens areas (GB) and Barlow Street area (GA), H&NE: Birch Close area (YGC), R&CW: Holyrood Drive area (YKB), Woodland Chase area (YKC - and tbf the whole of Clifton Moor), Rural West: Severn Green area (YJG), Ryder Close area (YJF), and Portal Road area (YJC), Strensall: Ryecroft area (in fact the whole of YLC), Westfield: Askham Croft area (KF)</p> <p>Note that some of these are due to odd boundaries, not just awkward polling station placement, and a number of these have large dense populations of houses built over the last decade, e.g. Germany Beck, Farro Drive, Heathside, Langley Gate, which means there are more with distances to travel than before. For me it asks the question of whether we're not building enough community venues in housing sites, and/or we aren't supporting new/future housing enough in our reviews.</p>	No change - noted comment, currently these are the recommended polling places. Will keep under review.
102	Councillor	Email	All	All		<p>Mergers/Splitting/Sizes. There are some changes to which areas are larger than they used to be, and which will change in the coming years, as well as noted extant issues in this space otherwise, and proposals available too. Some (e.g. Midfield Way) exaggerate population peninsulas and make the station location challenge more prominent; some (e.g. British Sugar in Acomb AA and York Central in Holgate GE) grow one polling district more than others, but over a period of time that is less immediately critical. The most notable change to size/ratio is Germany Beck which makes YCA even larger compared to YCC</p>	No change
103	Councillor	Email	All	All		<p>It makes sense to stay in the 1000-2500 population best practice zone as much as possible, only going smaller due to geographic spread, and larger if needed, so I'm personally opposed to suggestions for new 3000+ districts which I don't think are necessary or consistent with existing design.</p>	No change
104	Voter / elector	Online form	All	making general comment	Satisfied	<p>Has only limited blue badge parking (though there are 2 bays). Building itself is accessible. Accessibility is extremely important for enabling disabled people to be active citizens</p>	No change
105	Voter / elector	Online form	All	Postal	Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied		No change
106	Voter / elector	Online form	All	York Central	Very satisfied		No change